

Stone Creek Floodplain
Comments from Web Feedback Form

Date	FName	LName	Phone	Email	Comment	Address
2009-03-30 19:11:23	John	Halloran	970-471-6048	jhalloran@ranelson.com	Is there a map showing exactly which homes are affected? Great website! John Halloran	
2009-04-09 18:04:53	Chris	Romer	9704791783	cromer@visitvailvalley.com	Thank you so much for completing this project and process. As a resident who has been very negatively affected by the current floodplain your efforts to "fix" it to ensure accuracy are greatly appreciated.	616 Deer Blvd
2009-04-09 23:41:21	william	mc hugh	570-788-1877	vacationrentals@mail.com	Greg I was told two years ago by you it was up to the homeowner to get the property suryed and apply to Fema for a wavier. I did this and paid for all the paper work etc. in order to get my property off the flood map. It has been removed by Fema. Who is paying for this now and if it is the county will I get any compensation for what I expended.	308 A Elk Lane
2009-04-15 18:35:29	Debbie	Lathram	303-810-9882	craig@lathram.com	I am interested in signing our property up and listing is on the stakeholder map. also I am interested in being involoved in the steering committee and attending any upcoming meetings. Thank you; Debbie Lathram	282 Elk Lane Unit 2
2009-04-20 21:15:13	Mellvyn	Holzman	970 949 4506	melholzman@earthlink.net	Gentlemen, I would like to present my thoughts from our conference call. We discussed measuring the flow in Stone Creek at the maximum runoff for this season. Art and I suggested upstream and downstream of the culvert (SCDeast) under Stone Creek Dr (SCD) just East of Elk Ln on our respective properties. Our purpose was to get information of the efficacy of this culvert. Instead we concluded that measurement could be easily made at the upstream side of the diversion channel to Trout Pond adjacent to the 18th fairway. I think we can assume this flow measurement combined with the return to Stone Creek from the Trout Pond diversion will be representative of the flow above the culvert under Holland Pond dike. Since the dike most likely will not allow flow to the North at this point we will have a fairly good idea of the flow continuing down Stone Creek to the culvert of interest. From my experience of having lived at my address (14 Elk Ln) for 30 years the SCDeast culvert will not overflow (it did not in 1983 big runoff). The 100 yr flood is assuming 250 CuFt/sec at the point which we will be measuring with 186 cuft/sec continuing down to the SCDeast culvert from the dike at Holland Pond.. It appears that these projected flows are much higher than any potential snow melt. The projected flood area at the SCDeast culvert is based on calculations using existing culvert	14 Elk Lane

Date	FName	LName	Phone	Email	Comment	Address
					<p>capacity as it exists at these flow rates. This point in the flow system is a major factor affecting the downstream projections. Therefore it would be appropriate to run the flood plane model for the SCDeast culvert and the culvert further west (SCDwest) under SCD where SCD turns North as if they were constructed to handle at least 200cuf/sec. Under this model it would be interesting to observe how the flood area across the SCDeast culvert and how it ultimately affects the wide area of flooding at the Northerly section of SCD to the west. These flood areas are extensive and most likely will be diminished in the new projections. Both SCDeast and SCDwest culverts were constructed in the early 70's. As Greg indicated it was not until 1978 that Eagle County became obligated to FEMA for flood area issues. As I understand the Stone Creek easement maintenance is a responsibility of Eagle County and it perhaps seems reasonable that they have the responsibility to bring these (and others) culverts up to date commensurate with the new flood plane. Regardless of this fact, it appears that the flood area is extensively influenced by these constructed objects in the flow path and it is reasonable to consider rebuilding them to reduce the effective current flood plane predictions. The finalization of the flood plane to be presented to FEMA should not be influenced by the assumed urgency to cancel the old flood plane as it exists along Elk Lane. For specific properties affected by the new flood plane more accurate elevations, etc. need to be considered and this will take time.</p> <p>Mel Holzman</p>	
2009-04-23 02:40:25	Ted	Vickerman	970 9490363	tedvic@comcast.net	<p>I do not agree with the proposed new mapping. I would like to discuss with the surveyors and mapping committee. After many visual inspections of the stone creek drainage, I have to disagree. I believe that there are many areas of concern that are not addressed. Please let me know when the next meeting is. Thank you Ted</p>	23 coyote circle

Greg Schroeder

From: Mel Holzman [melholzman@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2009 11:46 AM
To: Greg Schroeder
Cc: Art Abplanalp; Melvyn Holzman
Subject: Stone Creek Flood Plane

Dear Mr. Schroeder,

I have been in Eagle-Vail since 1972 when I purchased Lot 29, Block 3, Filing 1, Eagle Vail. I subdivided the lot to 29A and 29B when I built my duplex 1978. I still own the property known as two units on 14 Elk lane, North and South. My records include information on the original documents of the Grouse Mountain at Vail, LTD developers.

I have much experience over the years about the flow issues of Stone Creek as it passes through my property and enters the culvert under Stone Creel Dr. just east of Elk Lane.

During the construction process I did extensive grading which changed the contour of my lot which should be considered in the flood plane map.

I have had experience in dealing with the maintenance of the creek and culvert on my property with EVHOA, EVMD, and the county. This information is relevant to the flood issue.

I am a stakeholder and wish to participate in the steering committee.

Sincerely,

Melvyn Holzman

Greg Schroeder

From: Mel Holzman [melholzman@earthlink.net]
Sent: Wednesday, April 08, 2009 8:58 PM
To: Greg Schroeder; Arthur A. Abplanalp, Jr.
Cc: Robert Krehbiel; Rex Schurenstedt
Subject: Re: Stone Creek Floodplain - Conference Call

Gentlemen,

I would like to present my thoughts from our conference call.

We discussed measuring the flow in Stone Creek at the maximum runoff for this season. Art and I suggested upstream and downstream of the culvert (SCDeast) under Stone Creek Dr (SCD) just East of Elk Ln on our respective properties. Our purpose was to get information of the efficacy of this culvert. Instead we concluded that measurement could be easily made at the upstream side of the diversion channel to Trout Pond adjacent to the 18th fairway. I think we can assume this flow measurement combined with the return to Stone Creek from the Trout Pond diversion will be representative of the flow above the culvert under Holland Pond dike. Since the dike most likely will not allow flow to the North at this point we will have a fairly good idea of the flow continuing down Stone Creek to the culvert of interest.

From my experience of having lived at my address (14 Elk Ln) for 30 years the SCDeast culvert will not overflow (it did not in 1983 big runoff). The 100 yr flood is assuming 250 CuFt/sec at the point which we will be measuring with 186 cuft/sec continuing down to the SCDeast culvert from the dike at Holland Pond.. It appears that these projected flows are much higher than any potential snow melt. The projected flood area at the SCDeast culvert is based on calculations using existing culvert capacity as it exists at these flow rates. This point in the flow system is a major factor affecting the downstream projections. Therefore it would be appropriate to run the flood plane model for the SCDeast culvert and the culvert further west (SCDwest) under SCD where SCD turns North as if they were constructed to handle at least 200cuft/sec. Under this model it would be interesting to observe how the flood area across the SCDeast culvert and how it ultimately affects the wide area of flooding at the Northerly section of SCD to the west. These flood areas are extensive and most likely will be diminished in the new projections.

Both SCDeast and SCDwest culverts were constructed in the early 70's. As Greg indicated it was not until 1978 that Eagle County became obligated to FEMA for flood area issues. As I understand the Stone Creek easement maintenance is a responsibility of Eagle County and it perhaps seems reasonable that they have the responsibility to bring these (and others) culverts up to date commensurate with the new flood plane. Regardless of this fact, it appears that the flood area is extensively influenced by these constructed objects in the flow path and it is reasonable to consider rebuilding them to reduce the effective current flood plane predictions.

The finalization of the flood plane to be presented to FEMA should not be influenced by the assumed urgency to cancel the old flood plane as it exists along Elk Lane. For specific properties affected by the new flood plane more accurate elevations, etc. need to be considered and this will take time.

Mel Holzman

----- Original Message -----

From: [Greg Schroeder](#)
To: [Arthur A. Abplanalp, Jr.](#)
Cc: [Mel Holzman](#) ; [Robert Krehbiel](#)
Sent: Friday, April 10, 2009 10:35 AM
Subject: RE: Stone Creek Floodplain - Conference Call

[4:00pm works for me.](#)

FALCON FINANCIAL
6860 SOUTH YOSEMITE CT., SUITE 200
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO 80112
303-850-0179
303-743-0541 (FAX)
796-0545

FAX

To: Greg Schroeder From: Brad Bayless
Fax: 970-328-8789 Pages: 2
Date: 4/24/09 Phone: _____

Greg,
Here are my notes from our due diligence process, prior to buying our place in November 2001 (698 B STONE CREEK).
As you can see, back then, he assured us that because of the design of the drainage channels, and our western location, that we had no risk relative to a flood plain.
I look forward to following the progress of the Steering Committee.
Brad Bayless

7/19/01

Comp. Sales

Averaging the above 2000-01 comp. sales on Stone Well Drive, our price of \$585,000 is \$40/sq ft cheaper than the above 5 sales.

Insurance Matter

Talk with Ed Belvin of E.I. Insurance (personal friend), and he confirmed we are not in the flood plain, and in the data that he reviewed, the infrastructure was designed to handle significantly more water than we would ever encounter, even in the "100 year flood".

The drainage channels to the east of us are designed so that our duplex would not risk any insurance issues related to a flood plain.

Roof Inspection

Academy Roofing determined that, except for 4 loose or missing cedar shakes, the roof is in good shape, and in no need of any repair.